



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/539,972	03/31/2000	Boris S. Elman	99-837	2133	
32127	7590 03/09/2006		EXAMINER		
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.			AVELLINO, JOSEPH E		
	AN R. ANDERSEN RIDGE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAILCODE I	HQEO3H14		2143		
IRVING, TX	75038		DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

E Car	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/539,972	ELMAN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph E. Avellino	2143	
The MAILING DATE - Sabia as a manufaction and	<u> </u>	l	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		•	iress
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this		in the final rejection, wh	sichovoria lator. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extra a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	, but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further of (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bell (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better the contraction of the con	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	iected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	-		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-8,16 and 17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessation.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be the arguments are not persuasive, see continuation shapes.	eet.		nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: see attached interview summary. 	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	10(s)	-

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Applicant's arguments dated January 6, 2006 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

In the remarks APplicant argues, in substance, that (1) Bhrali merely determines the number of hops between a computer and a server without determining or distinguishing the types of network components that span the computer and the server and therefore does not teach or suggest the actual circuit path information having heterogeneous network componenets associated with the record and the network componenets support a specific service for the customer.

As to point (1) the Office respectfully disagrees. Applicant is invited to view Figure 2C where each network component (231-236) is displayed in the graphical user interface. Regardless whether or not Bhralli determines the type of network component, it does, in fact, determine the circuit path information component (i.e. modem, intranet, ISP, etc.) which provides the service to the user. By this rationale, the rejection is maintained.

